

## British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology

Studio 16, Cloisters House, 8 Battersea Park Road, London SW8 4BG

Tel: +44 (0) 207 501 3910 Email: info@bsaci.org Website: www.bsaci.org

# Choosing Wisely on the use of nasal saline in chronic rhinosinusitis.

Glenis Scadding, RNTNE Hospital, London.

Saline douching is probably the single most effective measure in chronic rhinosinusitis. Failure to douche is likely to lead to more expensive interventions, both medical and surgical.

#### **Benefits**

Nasal saline irrigation may aid the removal of allergens, mediators and pollutants from the nose. It also improves mucus clearance and can help to unblock the nose.

A recent Cochrane review in chronic rhinosinusitis (1) found that there was no benefit of a 5ml nebulised saline spray over intranasal steroids, whereas daily, large-volume (150 ml) hypertonic saline irrigation was superior to placebo. Large-volume, low-pressure nasal irrigation using undiluted seawater was the most effective protocol in another recent study of several trials (2).

At home a suitable solution can be made by adding a level teaspoon of a 50:50 mix of salt and sodium bicarbonate to 250ml of previously boiled water which has been allowed to become tepid (3). This can either be sniffed up from the palm of the hand or gently squirted into the nose via a container with a patent nozzle.

Infants can be given nebulized saline, via a nose-covering face mask or via gentle squirting with the baby lying on its side. The recommended position for older children and adults is bent with head forward and slightly to one side over a sink. The solution is directed at the ipsilateral ear (3).

#### **Risks**

Side effects are rare - but sodium load may be a problem in heart or renal failure. Occasionally overvigorous use of a squeezy douche bottle can send saline up to the middle ear.

If used as therapy during the acute phase of the common cold there appears to be no benefit and discomfort is common (4,5,6,7).

## **Alternatives**

Saline can be administered by two kinds of device, both commercially available. In 31 endonasal surgery patients large-volume low-pressure irrigation (Sinus Rinse) was associated with better postoperative nasal cavity cleansing than low-volume high-pressure irrigation (Sterimar) (8).

Preservatives, antiseptics, sugars and mucolytics added to irrigation solutions give no clinical benefit and may be detrimental (9-13).

### **Nothing**

Saline douching is probably the single most effective measure in all forms of chronic rhinosinusitis (14,15). Failure to douche in chronic rhinosinusitis is likely to lead to more expensive interventions, medical and surgical.

In normal subjects, daily nasal saline may also confer benefits in preventing rhinitis symptoms (16).

- 1. Chong L, Head K, Hopkins C, Philpott C, Glew S, Scadding G, Burton MJ, Schilder AGM. Saline irrigation for chronic rhinosinusitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD011995. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011995.pub2
- 2.Bastier P-L, Lechot A, Bordenave L, Durand M, de Gaborya L, Nasal irrigation: From empiricism to evidence-based medicine. A review European Annals of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck diseases 132 (2015) 281–285
- 3. Harvey RJ, Psaltis A, Schlosser RJ, Witterick IJ: Current concepts in topical therapy for chronic sinonasal disease. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2010,39:217–231
- 4.Adam P, Stiffman, M, Blake RL. A Clinical Trial of Hypertonic Saline Nasal Spray in Subjects With the Common Cold or Rhinosinusitis Arch Fam Med. 1998;7:39-43
- 5. Bollag U, Albrecht E, Wingert W Medicated versus saline nose drops in the management of upper respiratory infection. Helvetica Paediatrica Acta [01 Oct 1984, 39(4):341-345]
- 6.Kassel JC, King D, Spurling GKP. Saline nasal irrigation for acute upper respiratory tract infections. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD006821. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006821.pub2.
- 7. King D, Mitchell B, Williams CP, Spurling GKP. Saline nasal irrigation for acute upper respiratory tract infections. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD006821. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006821.pub3.
- 8.Salib RJ, Talpallikar S, Uppal S, Nair SB. A prospective randomised single-blinded clinical trial comparing the efficacy and tolerability of the nasal douching products SterimarTM and Sinus RinseTM following functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Clin Otolaryngol 2013;38:297–305,http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/coa.12132
- 9. Ebbens FA, Scadding GK, Badia L, Hellings PW, Jorissen M, Mullol J, Cardesin A, Bachert C, van Zele TP, Dijkgraaf MG, Lund V, Fokkens WJ. Amphotericin B nasal lavages: not a solution for patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006 Nov;118(5):1149-56. PubMed PMID: 17088142
- 10. Hofmann T, Gugatschga M, Koidl B, Wolf G. Influence of preservatives and topical steroids on ciliary beat frequency in vitro. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg2004;130:440–5.
- 11.Mickenhagen A, Siefer O, Neugebauer P, Stennert E. The influence of different alphasympathomimetic drugs and benzalkonium chloride on the ciliary beat frequency of in vitro cultured human nasal mucosa cells. Laryngorhinootologie2008;87:30–8
- 12. Wei CC, Adappa ND, Cohen NA. Use of topical nasal therapies in the management of chronic rhinosinusitis. Laryngoscope 2013;123:2347–59.
- 13. Scadding GK. A Taste of Things to Come? J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2018 May Jun;6(3):1081-1082. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2017.09.006. PubMed PMID: 29747971.

14. Rabago D, Zgierska A, Mundt M, Barrett B, Bobula J, Maberry R. Efficacy of daily hypertonic saline nasal irrigation among patients with sinusitis: a randomized controlled trial. J Fam Pract. 2002 Dec;51(12):1049-55. PubMed PMID: 12540331.

15. Rabago D, Pasic T, Zgierska A, Mundt M, Barrett B, Maberry R. The efficacy of hypertonic saline nasal irrigation for chronic sinonasal symptoms. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2005 Jul;133(1):3-8. PubMed PMID: 16025044.

16. Liselott Tano & Krister Tano (2004) A Daily Nasal Spray with Saline Prevents Symptoms of Rhinitis, Acta Oto-Laryngologica, 124:9, 1059-1062, DOI: 10.1080/00016480410017657