

# Choosing Wisely on the use of alternative testing in the diagnosis of food allergy and Food intolerance

Deepa Choudhury, RCGP Allergy representative (Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire CCG)

Alternative testing has no proven benefit in the diagnosis of food allergy and food Intolerance. This may endanger patients via misdiagnosis. An accurate diagnosis requires detailed clinical assessment by a qualified health care professional with expertise in Allergy and may include relevant validated investigations such as specific IgE testing, skin prick testing and oral food challenge testing.

## Benefits

In recent years there has been a surge in allergic disease (1). Many individuals attribute their medically unexplained symptoms to food allergy or intolerance when food is not the cause, which has led to inappropriate dietary exclusions or other strategies. Sometimes these have been based on unproven alternative testing (2,3,4,5,6). It is therefore essential to know how to choose wisely.

Food allergy and intolerance are not interchangeable terms. (7)

*Food allergy* – involves the immune system reacting to a substance that would normally be harmless.

*Food intolerance* – does not typically involve the immune system. It is mostly a delayed phenomenon with symptoms occurring several hours after exposure to certain foods and is often dose-dependent. (7)

Test	What is the test?	What's the evidence?
ELECTRODERMAL TESTING (VEGA TESTING)	The patient is connected to two electrodes, creating an electrical circuit. Glass vials of test substances (potential allergens) are connected to the circuit and skin impedance is measured.	No evidence to validate use. There are no studies evaluating the technique for food allergy diagnosis and the test does not accurately detect respiratory allergy. (7,8,9)
HAIR ANALYSIS (also called bio- resonance testing or hair strand analysis)	Hair strands are evaluated against a database for apparent evidence of intolerances to hundreds of food and non-food items (4,6).	Research have confirmed clear diagnostic failure and lack of reproducibility with discrepancies between matched samples of hair (6,9,10,11).

The table below describes commonly available alternative tests, none of which have robust evidence to validate use.

APPLIED KINESIOLOGY	The patient holds a vial containing the test food in one hand while the contralateral arm is extended. Weakness in this arm is taken as a marker for sensitivity to the food being tested.	There is no evidence for diagnostic accuracy or effectiveness for any condition. (6,12, 13,14)
IgG TESTING (also called the YORK test)	Blood samples are analysed for IgG or IgG4 antibodies to specific foods. Detection of these antibodies is taken as a diagnostic marker for allergy or intolerance. Often this test is done in reputed labs that offer testing for chronic or delayed symptoms such as dry and itchy skin, fatigue, bloating, joint pain, migraine etc. (2,6)	The presence of IgG antibodies does NOT indicate an allergy or intolerance to a specific food. IgG antibodies to food are formed in all healthy asymptomatic people. (6,17,22,28)
THE ALCAT TEST (Antigen leucocyte cellular antibody test)	The patient's white blood cells are exposed to different foods and any changes in cell size are measured. A change of greater than 13% is considered as positive. (6,21,22)	Controlled trials show that it is ineffective for diagnosis. (6,22,23)
PROVOCATION NEUTRALISATION TESTING	Intradermal injections (injections under the skin) of food extract are administered with a view to provoke previously reported food related symptoms. A different dose of the offending allergen is then injected to "neutralise" the reaction. (6,24)	Multiple studies have concluded that this test lacks scientific validity and hence should not be used to make diagnostic or therapeutic decisions. (21,24,25)

#### Risks

The main risk of these investigations is an incorrect label of food allergy or food intolerance attributable to a specific food leading to unnecessary dietary restrictions, nutritional deficiencies and a reduced quality of life (6,17,19,20,21). Prolonged elimination of foods which have been previously tolerated may even lead to the development of new food allergy (28).

Many of these tests are marketed for the investigation of a wide range of symptoms including psychological, neurological, gastrointestinal and rheumatological disorders. In this context an incorrect diagnosis of food intolerance carries the additional risk of delaying the diagnosis and treatment of the underlying condition

#### Alternatives

There is no single diagnostic test that can be used in isolation to confirm a diagnosis of food allergy and there is currently no reliable validated test for confirming a diagnosis of food intolerance (with the exception of lactose intolerance and coeliac disease).

The standard clinical assessment for food allergy or a food intolerance requires an allergy-focussed history which may be supported by validated evidence-based allergy investigations such as specific

IgE blood tests, skin prick testing and oral food challenge testing (21,28). Generalised symptoms such as fatigue and joint pain may require assessment by other specialty physicians.

## Nothing

Not seeking medical assessment for symptoms suggestive of food allergy or a food intolerance places the patient at risk of future serious dietary, nutritional and medical complications. Patients who incorrectly attribute their symptoms to food allergy or intolerance may experience a delay in the diagnosis of non-allergic disorders, unnecessary dietary restrictions and a reduced quality of life.(28)

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.Brozek, G., Lawson, J., Szumilas, D. and Zejda, J. (2015). Increasing prevalence of asthma, respiratory symptoms, and allergic diseases: Four repeated surveys from 1993-2014. *Respiratory Medicine*, [online] 109(8), pp.982–990. Available at: https://www.resmedjournal.com/article/S0954-6111(15)00180-8/fulltext.

2.Everlywell.com. (2019). *EverlyWell*. [online] Available at: https://www.everlywell.com/products/food-sensitivity/

3.Candida (2014). *The Great Plains Laboratory, Inc.* [online] The Great Plains Laboratory, Inc. Available at: https://www.greatplainslaboratory.com/igg-food-allergy-test .

4. Allergy Test. (2017). Allergy Test. [online] Available at: https://allergytest.co/.

5.Cellsciencesystems.com. (2015a). *Alcat – A new cellular test for food sensitivity*. [online] Available at: https://cellsciencesystems.com/education/research/alcat-a-new-cellular-test-for-food-sensitivity/ .

6.Kelso, J.M. (2018). Unproven Diagnostic Tests for Adverse Reactions to Foods. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, [online] 6(2), pp.362–365. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213219817307043.

7.Skypala, I., Venter, C. and Rosan Meyer Copyright BDA Version 3 (2015). *Food Allergy and Intolerance*. [online] Available at: https://www.bda.uk.com/foodfacts/Allergy.pdf .

8.Lewith, G.T. (2001). Is electrodermal testing as effective as skin prick tests for diagnosing allergies? A double blind, randomised block design study. *BMJ*, [online] 322(7279), pp.131–134. Available at: https://www.bmj.com/content/322/7279/131.

9.Semizzi, M., Senna, G., Crivellaro, M., Rapacioli, G., Passalacqua, G., Canonica, W.G. and Bellavite, P. (2002). A double-blind, placebo-controlled study on the diagnostic accuracy of an electrodermal test in allergic subjects. *Clinical <html\_ent glyph="@amp;" ascii="&"/>* 

*Experimental Allergy*, [online] 32(6), pp.928–932. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1365-2222.2002.01398.x.

10.Niggemann, B. and Gruber, C. (2004). Unproven diagnostic procedures in IgE-mediated allergic diseases. *Allergy*, [online] 59(8), pp.806–808. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00495.x .

11.Hambidge, K.M. (1982). Hair analyses: worthless for vitamins, limited for minerals. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, [online] 36(5), pp.943–949. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article-abstract/36/5/943/4693669 .

12.Sethi, T.J., Kemeny, D.M., Tobin, S., Lessof, M.H., Lambourn, E. and Bradley, A. (1987).
HOW RELIABLE ARE COMMERCIAL ALLERGY TESTS? *The Lancet*, [online]
329(8524), pp.92–94. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673687919222 .

13.Scott, D.R., Namazy, J.A. and Simon, R.A. (2014). Controversial Practices and Unproven Methods in Allergy. *Food Allergy*, [online] pp.328–338. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781118744185.ch26.

14.Garrow, J.S. (1988). Kinesiology and food allergy. *BMJ*, [online] 296(6636), pp.1573–1574. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2545956/

15.Schwartz, S.A., Utts, J., Spottiswoode, S.J.P., Shade, C.W., Tully, L., Morris, W.F. and Nachman, G. (2014). A Double-Blind, Randomized Study to Assess the Validity of Applied Kinesiology (AK) as a Diagnostic Tool and as a Nonlocal Proximity Effect. *EXPLORE*, [online] 10(2), pp.99–108. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1550830713003418

16.Hall, S., Lewith, G., Brien, S. and Little, P. (2008). A Review of the Literature in Applied and Specialised Kinesiology. *Forschende Komplementärmedizin / Research in Complementary Medicine*, [online] 15(1), pp.40–46. Available at: http://findthecause.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Hall-Lewith-Little-Brien-2008-Forschende-A-review-of-the-literature-in-applied-and-specalised-kinesiology.pdf.

17.Stapel, S.O., Asero, R., Ballmer-Weber, B.K., Knol, E.F., Strobel, S., Vieths, S., Kleine-Tebbe, J. and EAACI Task Force (2008). Testing for IgG4 against foods is not recommended as a diagnostic tool: EAACI Task Force Report. *Allergy*, [online] 63(7), pp.793–6. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18489614.

18.Gocki, J. and Bartuzi, Z. (2016). Role of immunoglobulin G antibodies in diagnosis of food allergy. *Advances in Dermatology and Allergology*, [online] 4, pp.253–256. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5004213/

19.Marinkovich, V. (1996). Specific IgG antibodies as markers of adverse reactions to foods. *Monographs in allergy*, [online] 32, pp.221–5. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8813204

20.Atkinson, W., Sheldon, T.A., Shaath, N. and Whorwell, P.J. (2004). Food elimination based on IgG antibodies in irritable bowel syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. *Gut*, [online] 53(10), pp.1459–64. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15361495

21.Beyer, K. and Teuber, S.S. (2019). Food allergy diagnostics: Scientific and unproven procedures. *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, [online] 5(3), pp.261–266. Available at: https://ucdavis.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/food-allergy-diagnostics-scientific-and-unproven-procedures .

22.Position Statement AAAAI support of the EAACI Position Paper on IgG4\*. (2010). [online] Available at: https://www.aaaai.org/Aaaai/media/MediaLibrary/PDF%20Documents/Practice%20and%20 Parameters/EACCI-IgG4-2010.pdf

23.Cellsciencesystems.com. (2015b). *Alcat – A new cellular test for food sensitivity*. [online] Available at: https://cellsciencesystems.com/education/research/alcat-a-new-cellular-test-for-food-sensitivity/

24.Administrator (2010). ASCIA Position Statement - Unorthodox Techniques for the Diagnosis and Treatment of allergy, Asthma and Immune Disorders. [online] Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy (ASCIA). Available at: https://allergy.org.au/hp/papers/unorthodox-techniques-for-diagnosis-and-treatment/?tmpl=component

25.Wüthrich, B. (2005). *Unproven techniques in allergy diagnosis*. [online] J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol, pp.86–90. Available at: http://www.jiaci.org/issues/vol15issue02/1.pdf

26.New England Journal of Medicine. (2019). *Provocation Testing and Food Sensitivity / NEJM*. [online] Available at: https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM199110173251612. 27.Fox, R.A., Sabo, B.M.T., Williams, T.P.W. and Joffres, M.R. (1999). Intradermal testing for food and chemical sensitivities: A double-blind controlled study. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, [online] 103(5), pp.907–911. Available at: http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/10329827

28.Muraro, A., Werfel, T., Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K., Roberts, G., Beyer, K., Bindslev-Jensen, C., Cardona, V., Dubois, A., duToit, G., Eigenmann, P., Fernandez Rivas, M., Halken, S., Hickstein, L., Høst, A., Knol, E., Lack, G., Marchisotto, M.J., Niggemann, B., Nwaru, B.I., Papadopoulos, N.G., Poulsen, L.K., Santos, A.F., Skypala, I., Schoepfer, A., Van Ree, R., Venter, C., Worm, M., Vlieg-Boerstra, B., Panesar, S., de Silva, D., Soares-Weiser, K., Sheikh, A., Ballmer-Weber, B.K., Nilsson, C., de Jong, N.W., Akdis, C.A. and EAACI Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Guidelines Group (2014). EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: diagnosis and management of food allergy. *Allergy*, [online] 69(8), pp.1008–25. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24909706

29. The Royal College of Physicians. Allergy the unmet need. A blueprint for better patient care', 2003 pp73-77.